Exhibit 2

1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2			
	In re: Case No. 10-15973-scc New York, New York		
3	November 27, 2012		
4	Debtor. 10:13 a.m 10:30 a.m.		
5	TRANSCRIPT - CASE 10-15973-SCC - CHAPTER 11		
6	FIRST APPLICATION OF HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP AS ATTORNEYS FOR		
7	THE DEBTOR FOR ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES		
8	RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED FOR THE		
	PERIOD APRIL 18, 2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2012		
9	[DOCKET NO. 1106];		
10	FIRST APPLICATION OF SHEARMAN & STERLING LLP AS ATTORNEYS FOR		
11	THE DEBTOR FOR ALLOWANCE OF INTERIM COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES		
12	RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED FOR THE		
13	PERIOD MAY 29,2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2012 [DOCKET NO. 1121];		
14	FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION OF MAYER BROWN LLP, AS SPECIAL		
	CORPORATE COUNSEL TO THE DEBTOR, FOR COMPENSATION AND		
15	REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD FROM APRIL		
16	12, 2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31,2012 [DOCKET NO. 1108];		
17	THIRD INTERIM APPLICATION OF WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S.C. AS		
18	SPECIAL COUNSEL FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED		
19	CREDITORS FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES		
20	INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1, 2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31,2012		
	[DOCKET NO. 1105];		
21	FIFTH INTERIM APPLICATION OF LAZARD FRERES & CO. LLC AS		
22	FINANCIAL ADVISOR AND INVESTMENT BANKER FOR THE OFFICIAL		
23	COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR COMPENSATION AND		
24	REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD APRIL 1, 2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2012 [DOCKET NO. 1104];		
25	2012 III(00011 1100051 51, 2012 [DOCKET NO. 1104],		

(Agenda Continued) 1 FIFTH INTERIM APPLICATION OF MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP AS 2 COUNSEL FOR THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS FOR 3 COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED FOR THE 4 PERIOD APRIL 1, 2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2012 [DOCKET NO. 1103]; 5 FIFTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & 6 KATZ AS SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THE DEBTOR FOR INTERIM ALLOWANCE 7 FOR SERVICES RENDERED AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES INCURRED FROM APRIL 1, 2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2012 8 [DOCKET NO. 1102] 9 FIRST APPLICATION OF WINSTON & STRAWN LLP, AS SPECIAL COUNSEL 10 TO THE DEBTOR, FOR COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES 11 FOR THE PERIOD MAY 9, 2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2012 12 [DOCKET NO. 1096]; THIRD INTERIM FEE STATEMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP, 13 ACCOUNTING AND VALUATION ADVISORS TO THE DEBTOR, FOR 14 COMPENSATION FOR SERVICES AND FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES 15 [DOCKET NO. 1101]; FIFTH FEE APPLICATION OF KPMG LLP, AS AUDITORS, TAX 16 CONSULTANTS AND BANKRUPTCY ADMINISTRATION CONSULTANTS TO THE 17 DEBTOR AND DEBTOR IN POSSESSION, FOR INTERIM ALLOWANCE AND 18 COMPENSATION FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED AND 19 REIMBURSEMENT OF ACTUAL AND NECESSARY EXPENSES INCURRED FROM 20 APRIL 1, 2012 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2012 [DOCKET NO. 1098]; THIRD INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF BUTTNER HAMMOCK & CO. P.A. 21 AS LITIGATION CONSULTANTS FOR THE DEBTOR FOR INTERIM 22 ALLOWANCE OF COMPENSATION FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES 23 RENDERED AND EXPENSES INCURRED FROM APRIL 1, 2012 24 THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2012 [DOCKET NO. 1099]; AND 25

1	(Agenda Continued)		
Τ	FIFTH APPLICATION OF BLACKSTONE ADVISORY PARTNERS L.P. AS		
2	FINANCIAL ADVISOR TO THE DEBTORS FOR INTERIM ALLOWANCE OF		
3	COMPENSATION FOR ACTUAL AND NECESSARY SERVICES RENDERED AND		
4	REIMBURSEMENT OF ACTUAL AND NECESSARY OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSES		
5	INCURRED FOR THE PERIOD OF APRIL 1, 2012 THROUGH		
6	AUGUST 31, 2012 [DOCKET NO. 1100].		
	APPEARANCES ON BEHALF OF		
7		ISON H. WEISS, ESQ.	
8		an Lovells US, LLP 2) 918-3000; (212) 918-3100 fax	
9	Unsecured Creditor's AAR	ON KLEIN, ESQ.	
10	Committee: Mor	rison & Foerster LLP	
11	(21	2) 468-8000; (212) 468-7900 fax	
12		AN S. MASUMOTO, ESQ.	
	(21	2) 510-0500; (212) 668-2255 fax	
13 14	<u>=</u>	INA H. SCHOENBERGER, ESQ. 2) 637-2822; (212) 637-2717 fax	
	Unsecured Creditor's BRU	CE ARNOLD, ESQ.	
15	Committee: Why	te Hirschboeck Dudek S.C.	
16	(via telephone) (41	4) 978-5501; (414) 223-5000 fax	
17		OTHY BASS	
18		clays Capital, Inc. 2) 412-3606	
	Interested party:	HAEL B. CUNNINGHAM	
19	± ±	nue Capital	
20	(31	0) 882-3012	
21	± ±	E KWIATKOWSKI	
22		G LLP 2) 954-6273	
23			
	<u> </u>	ELECTRONIC SOUND REPORTERS 8) 866-5135; (888) 677-6131 fax	
24		ctronicsound@court-transcripts.net	
25	(Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording)		

In re Ambac Financial Group - 11/27/12 6 1 I'm sorry, Your Honor, we did have one other 2 application with respect to Shearman & Sterling. With respect 3 to those objections, that begins on page 20, paragraph 40. 4 agreed to reduce their transitory timekeepers by \$750.50. And 5 they provided time records to review, and that's acceptable. 6 They're also taking a further reduction for the \$40 in word 7 processing, and \$123.75 for word processing and proofreading 8 expenses. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 10 MR. KLEIN: Good morning, Your Honor --11 THE COURT: Good morning. 12 -- Aaron Klein. Morrison & Foerster for MR. KLEIN: 13 the Committee. 14 Your Honor, we did have some substantive discussions 15 earlier with the Office of the United States Trustee regarding 16 our fee application. I think the issues that are still on the 17 table are the objection to the so-called transitory timekeepers. 18 THE COURT: Mm-hm. 19 MR. KLEIN: Those timekeepers that throughout the fee 20 period billed less than five hours. And also we have still some 21 open items with respect to review of our retained professionals' 22 fee applications. 23 If I can address the timekeepers' issue first; we 24 acknowledge the position of the United States Trustee with 25 respect to writing off time billed by those timekeepers that are

In re Ambac Financial Group - 11/27/12

less than five hours. We understand that's written into the proposed rules for the United States Trustee fee guidelines that are not yet in affect. Our position is this -- and we're definitely willing to be reasonable about who we can write off and who we don't wrote off.

Our position is there were certain issues in this case which required us to consult certain professionals who were not regular timekeepers on this case. The U.S. Trustee has identified I think five of them, and I can go through each one of them and say why they made a valuable contribution to the case.

I think, taking it down the line, they mention Barbara Mendelson who's a partner in our Corporate Department at Morrison & Foerster. We had an issue with a Bermuda entity. We wanted -- the Debtor wanted to liquidate.

THE COURT: Let me stop you because this is a topic on which I have an opinion that Mr. Masumoto may or may not have had the pleasure of hearing from me before. A transitory timekeeper generally, as it's come to be called, is someone that as you say bills fewer than -- I don't know what the particular cutoff is -- ten hours/five hours; some small amount of hours.

There are two kinds of such timekeepers. One, the kind that you're describing, which is you have a discreet issue that only requires someone to think about it for an hour; some arcane issue of the law, a foreign jurisdiction, a tax issue,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

In re Ambac Financial Group - 11/27/12 something of that sort. So somebody who works full time on the case goes to that person and says, hey, I want to pick your brain on this issue, and you talk. And then you say to the person, bill .5 to Ambac. Here's the number and that's it. That's compensable. Whether it's .5 or whether it's five, that's compensable because that person's given their expertise. What's not compensable is junior associate is on vacation so another junior associate gets asked to do some task, or a paralegal is on vacation, and another paralegal gets asked to do a task. And part of that person's time is spent making up for the fact that the other person really isn't there. are the kinds of transitory timekeepers that frankly professionals should bill off by themselves without having to be prompted by the U.S. Trustee. So the only thing that I'm interested in is which is which and that's the way it needs to be resolved. So if you want to go through each of them we're rapidly getting to the point that this is going to cost more than what you're writing off, which is another one of my pet peeves. MR. KLEIN: Agreed, Your Honor. I can represent to the Court that each of these are senior level people, where we went to them with discreet issues of law for the very purpose of picking their brain, as opposed to a junior associate --

THE COURT: All right. So to the extent that the U.S.

Trustee has a continuing objection to those timekeepers, it's

9

In re Ambac Financial Group - 11/27/12 1 overruled. Okay? And then what's the other category? 2 MR. KLEIN: The other category, Your Honor, is review 3 of our own fee applications, billing for the time taken for our 4 fee applications, and also the fee applications of our retained 5 professionals, Lazard and Whyte Hirschboeck. The United States 6 Trustee noted in his objection that you can't -- it's 7 impermissible to bill for manipulation of time records or review 8 of time records. 9 THE COURT: Not manipulation. That's an unfortunate 10 choice of words. Sorry. Pardon me, Your Honor. For 11 MR. KLEIN: 12 revision or review of time records. 13 THE COURT: Right. 14 MR. KLEIN: Verses a fee application. Now, you 15 understand, Your Honor, when you go to build a fee application, 16 we're talking about a six month fee period; you have to review 17 the actual time records --18 THE COURT: Right. 19 MR. KLEIN: -- in order to build out the narratives. 20 THE COURT: Sure. 21 MR. KLEIN: And so that's what we've had. And I can 22 represent to the Court that in each of the line items that the 23 United States Trustee has highlighted in the objection that is 24 what was going on here, which is we were reviewing the time 25 records, and then we were building out, and reviewing and